

Mark scheme – Gravitational Fields

Question			Answer/Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
1			Force is proportional to the product of the mass of each asteroid. and the force is inversely proportional to the distance squared between the centres of mass of the asteroids.	B1	
			Total	1	
2			$V_{(g)} = -\frac{GM}{r}$	B1	Examiner's Comments The expression for gravitational potential was listed on the Data, Formulae and Relationships in the module 5 section and was hence reproduced well by the candidates. The minus sign was required.
			Total	1	
3			Arrow acting along line from planet towards sun	B1	Any arrow length
			Total	1	
4			C	1	
			Total	1	
5			B	1	
			Total	1	
6			C	1	Examiner's Comments This question requires the candidate to calculate the gravitational potential energy when $r = 6.4 \times 10^3$ m and again when $r = 7.6 \times 10^3$ m. The difference of those two energies gives answer C. About two thirds of all candidates got this correct.
			Total	1	
7			D	1	Examiner's Comments Near to the surface of the Earth, the gravitational field is approximately uniform. This means that they are parallel and equally spaced. Gravitational field lines in general show the direction of the force on a small mass. This makes all 3 statements are correct, giving the answer D.
			Total	1	

5.4 Gravitational Fields

8		B	1	
		Total	1	
9		C	1	
		Total	1	
10		GPE is the work done in bringing an object from infinity (to that point)	B1	Ignore any equations
		Total	1	
11		B	1	
		Total	1	
12		B	1	
		Total	1	
13		C	1	Examiner's Comments This question proved particularly straightforward and accessible to nearly all candidates.
		Total	1	
14		C	1	
		Total	1	
15		B	1	Examiner's Comments Virtually all questions showed a positive discrimination, except for question 10 . The questions themselves require careful inspection, as crucial information that could lead to the exclusion of many options can be obtained reducing the need for calculation and guessing. Underlining or circling key points may help candidates to converge towards the correct responses. Candidates should ensure that all letters are clearly formed. If there is a need to amend an answer crossing through the incorrect answer and writing the correct answer adjacent to the box will help avoid any potential for misunderstanding by the examiner. This question proved particularly straightforward and accessible to nearly all candidates.
		Total	1	
16		B	1	Examiner's Comments Answering this question needs knowledge of Kepler's Third Law and the formulae for gravitational potential and gravitational field strength, all of which are given in the data, formulae and relationships booklet. This relationship cannot be gravitational potential or gravitational field strength, as quantity y increases with distance from the Sun. By equating the gravitational force on a planet with the centripetal force, it can be shown also that $(\text{orbital speed})^2$ and orbital radius are inversely proportional. This graph does not show an inversely proportional relationship.

5.4 Gravitational Fields

					The formula sheet says that the square of a planet's period is directly proportional to the cube of the planet's orbital radius. In other words, the relationship shows that as orbital radius increases, so does the period, but not directly proportionally. This is the relationship shown on the graph, giving answer B.
			Total	1	
17			Labelled diagram showing a line joining a planet and the Sun	B1	
			Comparing swept areas at different parts of orbit	B1	
			Total	2	
18		i	(Stronger) gravitational attraction between nearby galaxies affects motion / clustering of galaxies	B1	
		ii	Expansion rate may not have been constant / non-linear expansion / effect of dark energy causing accelerating rate of expansion	B1	
			Total	2	
19		i	Straight symmetrical radial field lines and correct direction of field	B1	Ignore field lines inside the Earth
		ii	X and Y labelled which should be an equal distance away from the centre of the Earth	B1	Note Judge by eye Allow X and Y both on the surface of the Earth
			Total	2	
20		i	Two circles with centres at CoM with radii in ratio 4 :1 CoM at surface of larger star on line joining stars	B1	allow diameter of m orbit through CoM as $44 \pm 10 \%$ for example; full reasonable circles required; ignore arrows;
		ii	Same period/(angular) frequency of stars but longer path for smaller star/AW or $v = 2\pi R/T$ or $v \propto R$ or stars stay at opposite ends of line through CoM	B1 B1	any arguments using $F = mv^2/r$ score zero <u>Examiner's Comments</u> Candidates should be encouraged to draw diagrams such as part (i) as accurately as they can. Some had drawing instruments and drew accurate circles. Many drew shapes which were very far from circular, making it difficult in some examples to judge whether the candidate knew the correct path.

5.4 Gravitational Fields

					A secondary purpose of this part was to reinforce the idea that the stars remain diametrically opposite as in part (a) (i). Many did not respond to this trigger having Kepler's laws or centripetal force requirements central in their minds. The rest stated clearly that the orbital period for the two stars had to be the same and consequently were credited both marks.
			Total	3	
21			Similarity The field strength or force $\propto 1 / \text{separation}^2$ or both produce a radial field.	B1	
			Differences Gravitational field is linked to mass and electric field is linked to charge.	B1	
			Gravitational field is always attractive whereas electric field can be either attractive or repulsive.	B1	
			Total	3	
22	i		$T^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{GM} r^3$	B1	
	ii		$86400^2 = (4\pi^2/6.0 \times 10^{24} \times 6.67 \times 10^{-11}) r^3$ (Any subject) radius = 4.23×10^7 (m)	C1 A1	Examiner's Comments The radius of the orbit of a geostationary satellite was found with ease by the majority of candidates by using the formula in the data book or by looking at their response for part 23(b)(i). There were a few ways of generating an arithmetical error, such as using the square root instead of the cube root for the final step, getting the wrong power for the time or by using a time equal to one year instead of one day.
			Total	3	
23			Use of $M = gr^2 / G$ (accept any subject)	C1	Calculation using $g = 1.72$ at radius of 15300 km Possible ecf from (b)(i)
			Density = $3g / 4\pi G = 3 \times 9.81/4\pi \times 6.4 \times 10^6 \times 6.67 \times 10^{-11}$ = 5.49×10^3 (kg m ⁻³)	C1	Density = $\frac{3 \times 1.72 \times (1.53 \times 10^7)^2}{4\pi \times (6.4 \times 10^6)^3 \times 6.67 \times 10^{-11}}$
				A1	= 5.50×10^3 kg m ⁻³
			Total	3	
24			Grav. potential V_g at a point is defined as the work done to bring 1 kg from infinity to that point in space;	B1	

5.4 Gravitational Fields

			(G) force is attractive so the work done is negative (as separation is decreasing);	B1	or work is required to move away from the
			V_g is given the value zero at infinity so is negative nearer the Earth.	B1	Earth / AW
			Total	3	
25	a	i	X at closest point on orbit to the Sun	B1	Allow X on the orbit to the <u>left</u> of the Sun
		ii	(When the asteroid orbits the sun a) line segment joining the asteroid to the Sun sweeps out equal areas in equal time (intervals) Longer distance (in orbit for the same time)	B1 B1	Allow this mark on diagram (no labelling required) Allow 'equal area swept in same time'
	b	i	Work done per unit mass to move an object from infinity (to that point)	B1	Not 'work done on 1 kg'
		ii	Manipulation of $V_g = (-) GM/r$	B1	
		iii	gradient = $(-)30.4$ or equivalent working candidate's gradient or expression = $6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times M$ and M calculated correctly from that gradient $M = 4.6 \times 10^{11}$ (kg)	C1 C1 A0	Allow ± 2 Possible ECF from incorrect gradient Allow any subject
	c		Method 1: Evidence of 2.3×10^{-3} and 600^{-1} or $(2.3 \times 10^{-3})^{-1}$ and 600 $\frac{1}{2} v^2 = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 4.6 \times 10^{11} \times (2.3 \times 10^{-3} - 600^{-1})$ $v = 0.20$ (m s $^{-1}$) Method 2: Evidence of 7.0×10^{-2} and 5.1×10^{-2} from graph	C1 C1 A1 (C1) (C1) (A1)	Possible ECF from (b)(iii) for either value of GM or M Allow $\frac{1}{2} v^2 = 30 \times (2.3 \times 10^{-3} - 600^{-1})$ Note answer can be 0.19 or 0.20 or 0.2 m s $^{-1}$ Note answer can be 0.19 or 0.20 or 0.2 m s $^{-1}$ Allow correct use of one piece of data arriving at a value for v for 1 mark max

5.4 Gravitational Fields

			$\frac{1}{2} v^2 (= \Delta V_{(g)}) = 7.0 \times 10^{-2} - 5.1 \times 10^{-2}$ $v = 0.19 \text{ (m s}^{-1}\text{)}$		
			Total	10	
26			Appropriate test proposed, e.g. $T^2 / r^3 = \text{constant } k$	B1	
			Test carried out on all three pairs of data	M1	$k = (1.112, 1.109, 1.113) \times 10^{-5}$ respectively
			Conclusion consistent with test result	B1	
			Total	3	
27	i		Correct substitution of $T = 2.0 \text{ s}$ into $T^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{g} L$	C1	Note: 1 (m) here cannot score this A1 mark Examiner's Comments A large majority of candidates successfully showed that the pendulum length should be 0.99m for a 'tick' length of 1.0 seconds. Candidates that attempted the reverse argument, by assuming a length of 1 m and then calculating the corresponding length, were usually unable to show the period of the resulting pendulum was 2.01s. Candidates that showed how to arrive at this period gained full credit.
			length = 0.99 (m)	A1	
	ii		Lower g / gravitational field strength / acceleration (of free fall) on Moon.	B1	Accept 'g is a sixth of g on Earth' AW Not gravity (is less) Examiner's Comments Many candidates suggested that g is less on the Moon than it is on the Earth, gaining one mark of credit. Most candidates suggested that would mean the period of the pendulum would be larger, but did so without justification from the formula in the question or contradicted themselves by stating that would make the pendulum 'run faster'.
			T is longer (on Moon) and justified by $T^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{g} L$ $T^2 \propto 1/g$ or $\frac{4\pi^2}{g}$ is larger	B1	
			Total	4	
28	i		$F = GMm/r^2$	C1 A1	Note the mark is for substitution, value of G is not required Ignore: minus sign Allow 1 mark for $1.4 \times 10^4 \text{ N}$; use of mass of star instead of mass of galaxy. Examiner's Comments While some lower level responses included an attempt to find the gravitational field strength rather than the force most selected the correct formula. After
			$F = G \times (2.0 \times 10^{41})^2 / (1.4 \times 10^{23})^2$ force = $1.4 \times 10^{26} \text{ (N)}$		

5.4 Gravitational Fields

				selecting the correct relationship, most candidates could then correctly find the force, provided that they remembered to multiply the masses and square the distance of separation.
		ii	density = $10^{11} \times 2.0 \times 10^{30} / 2.7 \times 10^{69}$ density = 7.4×10^{-29} (kg m⁻³)	M1 A0
		iii	Any reasonable answers questioning models such as observed average distance may be different, average mass may be wrong etc.	B1 e.g. black holes, dark energy/matter, expanding universe <u>Examiner's Comments</u> This was a question about challenging the model of the universe. The model takes into account an average mass and average distance of separation, so answers that referred to a variation in masses or distances between galaxies did not score. Higher level responses included that the universe was expanding, so that the distances involved were always changing, or that dark matter was not included in the calculations. There was no indication that candidates were constrained by time in this paper.
		Total		4
29			$g = \frac{G \times 6.31 \times 10^{30}}{(1.90 \times 10^9)^2}$ $g = 117$ (N kg⁻¹) $2 \times \frac{0.14}{1.90} + \frac{0.42}{6.31}$ or 0.21 or 21% (absolute uncertainty =) 25 (N kg⁻¹)	C1 Reject $0.42/(0.14^2) = 21.4$ C1 Note: final answer of $g = 117 \pm 25$ (N kg⁻¹) with no working scores all 4 marks. C1 Allow correct identification of %(r) and %(m) for 1 mark max if no other marks scored. A1 Allow alternate method using max/min values of m and r that give the correct absolute uncertainty (28)
		Total		4
30	a	Ensure largest possible proportion of flask is immersed. Make volume of tubing small compared to volume of flask. Remove heat source and stir water to ensure water at uniform temperature throughout. Allow time for heat energy to conduct through glass to air before reading temperature.	B1 × 4	

5.4 Gravitational Fields

	b	i	Pressure is caused by collisions of particles with sides.	B1	
		i	Velocity of particles (and volume of gas) are not zero at 0 °C.	B1	
		ii	1: Gradient of graph $0.75 \times 10^2 / 100 = 0.75$		
		ii	Number of moles of gas = gradient / R = $0.75 / 8.31 = 0.09$	C1	Alternative method Internal energy = $3/2 \times p \times V$
		ii		A1	
		ii	Mass of gas = $0.09 \times 6.02 \times 10^{23} \times 4.7 \times 10^{-27} = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ (kg)		At $\theta = 100^\circ\text{C}$ $pV = 2.73 \times 10^2$
		ii	2: Internal energy = $3/2 \times NkT$		Internal energy = $1.5 \times 2.73 \times 10^2 = 410$ (J)
		ii	= $1.5 \times 0.09 \times 6.02 \times 10^{23} \times 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \times (100 + 273)$	C1	
		ii	= 410 (J)	A1	
			Total	10	
31		i	$F = GMm/r^2 = mv^2/r$	C1	where $r = 6.8 \times 10^6$ m
		i	$v = (GM/r)^{1/2} = (g/r)^{1/2}R$ (as $g = GM/R^2$)	C1	N.B. some working must be shown as a
		i	$v = 7.7$ (km s ⁻¹).	A1	show that Q
		ii	total energy = $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 - GMm/r = -GMm/2r$	M1	no ecf from (i); allow numerical values
		ii	$E = -gR^2m/2r = -1.2(4) \times 10^{13}$ (J)	A1	with no algebra if clear no mark for correct value without the minus sign
			Total	5	
32			Level 3 (5–6 marks) Clear description and correct calculations leading to value of total energy (must include the negative sign) <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and</i>	B1×6	Indicative scientific points may include: Description - Orbit above the equator / equatorial orbit - Orbit from west to east/same direction of orbit as Earth's rotation - Orbital period is 24 hours / 1 (sidereal) day /23hrs 56mins (4 s) - Orbit is circular / above the same point on the Earth Calculation $E = (-)\frac{GMm}{r}$

5.4 Gravitational Fields

		<i>substantiated.</i> Level 2 (3–4 marks) Some description and some correct calculations or Correct calculations (including the negative sign) <i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i> Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited description or Limited calculations <i>The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i> 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.		$E = \frac{6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 5.97 \times 10^{24} \times 2500}{4.22 \times 10^7}$ $= (-) 2.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ J}$ $V = \frac{2\pi r}{T} \omega r$ $V = \frac{2\pi \times 4.22 \times 10^7}{24 \times 3600} = 3.07 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ $E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$ $E = \frac{1}{2} \times 2500 \times [3.07 \times 10^3]^2 = 1.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ J}$ - Total energy = $- 2.4 \times 10^{10} + 1.2 \times 10^{10} = - 1.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ J}$ - Allow full credit for algebraic proof using $\frac{GMm}{r^2} = \frac{mv^2}{r}$, $E = (-)\frac{GMm}{r}$, $E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$ and total energy = KE + PE Allow higher order answers in terms of Lagrange's Identity Examiner's Comments This part explored multiple ideas about geostationary orbits. It was accessible to most candidates, many of whom calculated the magnitude of the GPE correctly yet forgot that this value must be negative. Almost all candidates forgot that Gravitational Potential Energy is negative.
		Total	6	
33	i	GPE = $(-) GMm/r$ GPE = $(-) 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 2 \times 10^{30} \times 810 / 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ GPE = $(-) 7.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ (J)}$	C1 C1 A0	Mark is for full substitution, including 6.67×10^{-11} for G
	ii	$v = 2\pi r/T = 2\pi \times 1.5 \times 10^{11} / 3.16 \times 10^7 (= 29.8 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ KE = $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = 0.5 \times 810 \times (29.8 \times 10^3)^2$ KE = $3.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ (J)}$	C1 M1 A1	Allow proof by algebraic method for full marks e.g. $mv^2/r = GMm/r^2$ so $mv^2 = GMm/r$ Therefore KE/GPE = $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 / (GMm/r) = \frac{1}{2}$

5.4 Gravitational Fields

		iii	total energy = $(-)(7.2 \times 10^{11} - 3.6 \times 10^{11})$ total energy = $(-) 3.6 \times 10^{11}$ (J)	M1 A0	working must be shown; ECF (i) and (ii)
			Total	6	
34	a	i	alpha-particle / ${}^4_2\text{He}$ / ${}^4_2\alpha$	B1	
		ii	nucleon number for Bi = 209 antineutrino / ${}^{(0)}_{(0)}\bar{\nu}_{(e)}$	B1 B1	Note: Do not allow incorrect subscript and superscript
	b	i	Aluminium (sheet placed between source and detector) The count (rate) reduces or Magnetic / electric field used Electrons identified from correct deflection / motion in field	M1 A1 M1 A1	Allow count (rate) drop to background / zero Allow 2 marks for 'the range in air is a few m' Examiner's Comments This turned out to be a low-scoring question from candidates across the ability spectrum. Only a quarter of the candidates gained 2 marks for identifying aluminium as the absorber for the beta-minus radiation (electrons) and providing adequate description in terms of reduction in the count-rate. A small number of candidates opted for charged parallel plates and identified the electrons curving towards the positive plate. There were some baffling descriptions involving pointing the source at ' <i>wires and measuring the current</i> '. Fluorescent screens and cloud chambers were not allowed as acceptable answers because both can be used to detect the presence of gamma-photons and alpha-particles.
		ii	$(\lambda =) \ln 2 / 3.3$ (h^{-1}) or $(\lambda =) 0.21$ (h^{-1}) $(A_0 =) 12 \times 10^3 / e^{-(0.21 \times 7.0)}$ or $(A_0 =) 5.219 \times 10^4$ (Bq) $(N_0 =) 5.219 \times 10^4 / 5.835 \times 10^{-5}$ number of nuclei = 8.9×10^8	C1 C1 C1 A1	Allow credit for alternative methods Note this is the same as $12 \times 10^3 \div (0.5)^{7.0/3.3}$ Note 9.0×10^8 can score full marks if numbers are rounded Possible ECF for incorrect conversion of time

5.4 Gravitational Fields

		Or $(\lambda =) \ln 2 / [3.3 \times 3600] \text{ (s}^{-1}\text{)}$ or $(\lambda =) 5.835 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (s}^{-1}\text{)}$ $(N =) 1.2 \times 10^4 / 5.835 \times 10^{-5} \text{ or } 2.057 \times 10^8$ $(N_0 =) 2.057 \times 10^8 / e^{-(0.21 \times 7.0)}$ number of nuclei = 8.9×10^8	C1 C1 C1 A1	Note this is the same as $2.057 \times 10^8 \div (0.5)^{7.0/3.3}$ <u>Examiner's Comments</u> The question was multi-stepped calculation, requiring knowledge of radioactive decay equations, half-time and activity. The final stage of the calculation was dependent on the equation $A = \lambda N$ and working consistently in Bq for the activity and in s^{-1} for the decay constant. The number of nuclei N could not be calculated with the activity in Bq and the decay constant in either h^{-1} or min^{-1}. About half of the candidates scored full marks. Those working with inconsistent units invariably ended up with the incorrect value 2.5×10^5 nuclei, but this still earned them 2 marks for the preceding steps.
		Total	9	
35	i	Any sensible suggestion, e.g. Satellites used for global communication, instant access to news, weather forecasting etc.	B1	
	ii	$g = (6400/15300)^2 \times 9.81$	C1	
	ii	$g = 1.72 \text{ (N kg}^{-1}\text{)}$	A1	
	iii	Acceleration towards centre = 1.72 ms^{-2} or centripetal force = mass of satellite $\times 1.72 \text{ N}$	C1	ecf (b)(i)
	iii	$T^2 = 4 \times \pi^2 \times 1.53 \times 10^7 / 1.72$	C1	
	iii	$T = 1.87 \times 10^4 \text{ (s)}$	A1	Allow 1.9
		Total	6	
36		Level 3 (5–6 marks) a structured combination of at least 6 statements taken from A, B and C or A and D a combination of at least 5 statements; script of a lower quality N.B. bonus given for any of E at any level <i>The ideas are well structured providing significant clarity in the communication of the science.</i> Level 2 (3–4 marks) a good combination of at least 4 statements taken from A and B or A and C	B1	A initial scenario - for circular orbit a centripetal force (of magnitude mv^2 / r) is required or AW in terms of accelerations - this is provided by the gravitational force GMm/r^2 or G force just pulls radially inwards sufficiently to maintain orbit - the speed in orbit $v = (GM/r)^{1/2}$ B reverse thrust - G force causes rocket to spiral towards Earth when rocket slowed; - rocket speeds up in process v in orbit is larger when radius r is smaller; condition for faster lower orbit can be achieved or T smaller because either v is larger or r / circumference is smaller or both or $2\pi r/v$ is smaller C forward thrust

5.4 Gravitational Fields

		or B and C or A and D a combination of at least 3 statements taken from two sections which are relevant together. <i>There is partial structuring of the ideas with communication of the science generally clear.</i> Level 1 (1–2 marks) at least 2 statements from A, B, C or D which are relevant together some attempt which is related to the question <i>The ideas are poorly structured and impede the communication of the science.</i> Level 0 (0 marks) Insufficient or relevant science.		- when rocket speeds up with engines fired forwards G force insufficient to hold orbit so spirals to larger orbit - slowing as it does so D energy approach - some p.e. goes to k.e. when rocket is slowed as it moves towards Earth - so v increases - vice versa when rocket is accelerated E further comments - extra corrections needed to obtain circular orbit after manoeuvre (not mentioned in passage) - any other relevant statement not included above
		Total	6	
37	i	KE = $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$ and GPE = $\frac{GMm}{r}$ $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = \frac{GMm}{r}$ then a valid step to $v = \sqrt{(2GM/r)}$	C1 A1	Allow $m = 1$ (kg) if clearly defined Examiner's Comments Examiners were delighted that candidates proved the relationship for escape velocity very clearly indeed with the higher ability candidates correctly suggesting that 'KE + GPE = 0' was the condition for escape, although 'KE lost = GPE gained' would have been a clear way of reconciling any minus sign confusion. A minority of candidates tried, unsuccessfully, to invoke the expression for circular motion inappropriately.
	ii	$(v^2 = 2 \times 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 0.131 \times 10^{23} / 1.19 \times 10^6)$ $v = 1200 \text{ (m s}^{-1}\text{)}$	A1	Answer to 3.s.f. is 1210 Examiner's Comments Approximately four-fifths of all candidates calculated the escape velocity on Pluto correctly. Those that did not score the mark for this item did so because of improper calculator use or, more rarely, because they selected the wrong data from the question.


5.4 Gravitational Fields

			Mercury has a higher escape velocity than Pluto (ORA) Mercury is closer to sun and Mercury is hotter (ORA) Molecules on Mercury (are more likely to) have speed higher than the escape velocity	B1 M1 A1	Allow a supporting calculation (speed is about 4.2 km s⁻¹) Allow 'required speed' for 'escape velocity' Allow 'fast enough to escape' Examiner's Comments Candidates found this last item very challenging indeed, with only exceptional candidates gaining two or three marks. Many candidates suggested that the reason for Mercury's lack of atmosphere was the superior gravitational pull of the Sun, which is wholly incorrect. Others suggested that the solar wind or 'radiation' had burnt off the atmosphere. Rather fewer candidates correctly related Mercury's smaller mean distance to the Sun and its higher temperature or reasoned that Mercury's escape velocity was higher than Pluto's. Only a small minority of candidates recognised that even though Mercury has a higher escape velocity, its higher temperature gave the atmosphere's molecules a higher average speed which would have exceeded Mercury's escape velocity.
			Total	6	
38		i	Horizontal arrow pointing to the right.	B1	Judgement by eye Examiner's Comments The examiners were quite lenient in this series in terms of the precise direction of the arrow, which should point towards the centre of Mars.
		ii	$2.14 \times 10^3 = \frac{2 \times \pi \times 9380 \times 10^3}{T}$ $T = 2.75 \times 10^4 \text{ (s)}$	C1 A1	Allow 2SF answer Note: 2.75... × 10ⁿ scores 1 mark. Examiner's Comments Around four fifths of candidates got this right. Those that did not either poorly converted the radius from km or used the area rather than the circumference of the orbit.
		iii	$\frac{GMm}{r^2} = \frac{mv^2}{r} \text{ or } v^2 = \frac{GM}{r}$ $(2.14 \times 10^3)^2 = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times M / 9380 \times 10^3$ $M = 6.44 \times 10^{23} \text{ (kg)}$	C1 C1 A1	Allow ecf of answer for T from (a)(ii) Allow 2 SF answer Note: Use of 2.8 × 10⁴ seconds gives

5.4 Gravitational Fields

				6.3×10^{23} (kg) for 3 marks. Alternative Method for C1C1 $M = 4\pi^2 R^3 / (T^2 G)$ (Databook formula re-arranged with M as subject) $M = 4\pi^2 (9380 \times 10^3)^3 / ((2.75 \times 10^4)^2 \times 6.67 \times 10^{-11})$ (i.e. M as subject) Note: In alternative method, PoT error forgetting km→m conversion gives 6.46×10^{14} (kg) for 2 marks. Examiner's Comments Many candidates successfully used the equation for Kepler's Third Law, which is encouraging. A quicker route was to find the Phobos's acceleration (from v^2/r) and equating that to the gravitational field strength at Phobos from Mars (GM_{mars}/r^2) and then rearranging to find the mass of Mars.
		Total	6	
39	i	(For circular orbit) <u>centripetal</u> force provided by <u>gravitational</u> force (of attraction) (Gravitational / centripetal) force is along line joining stars which must therefore be diameter of circle (AW)	M1 A1	Examiner's Comments Only a minority of candidates related the gravitational force between the stars to the centripetal force required for circular motion to occur. This candidate has written the perfect answer (exemplar 5). There were two popular insufficient answers; that if the stars were not diametrically opposite they would collide and that the centre of mass of the system had to be at the centre of the orbit. Exemplar 5 * Their gravitational force to each other acts as the centripetal force. ✓ * Gravitational force is directly towards their centers which means the centripetal force is on the same line of the gravitational force so the center of orbit must lie on the line of their centers as the diameter. ✓
	ii	$T = 20.5 \times 86400 (= 1.77 \times 10^6 \text{ s})$ and $R = 1.8 \times 10^{10} \text{ (m)}$ $m = 16 \times \pi^2 \times (1.8 \times 10^{10})^3 / G \times (20.5 \times 86400)^2$	C1 C1 A1	values of T and R scores first mark; both incorrect 0/3 correct substitution allowing π^2 and G $m = 16 \times 9.87 \times 1.8^3 \times 10^{30} / 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 1.8^2 \times 10^{12}$ using 2R gives $35.2 \times 10^{30} = 17.6 M_{\odot}$ or using $T = 1 \text{ day}$ gives $1850 \times 10^{30} = 930 M_{\odot}$ award 2/3 Examiner's Comments

5.4 Gravitational Fields

		giving $m = 4.4 \times 10^{30}$ so $m = 2.2 M_{\odot}$		This question tested the candidates' ability to interpret and substitute data into an elaborate formula and then evaluate it. The most common error was to write the formula with the correct substitutions but then to omit the square symbol against T. Candidates should be encouraged to consider whether their answers are reasonable before moving on to the next question. In the calculation (exemplar 6) shown here, is it possible that these stars could be four million times the mass of the Sun? The correct answer of 2.2 Sun masses seems very plausible and should give candidates confidence. Exemplar 6 $1 \text{ day} = 86400 \text{ s}$ $M_{\odot} = 2.0 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$  $m = \frac{16\pi^2 \times (1.8 \times 10^{10})^3}{6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times (20.5 \times 8.64 \times 10^4)}$ $= 7.795... \times 10^{36} \text{ kg}$ $\frac{\text{Ans}}{2 \times 10^{30}} = 3.8977... \times 10^6$ $m = 3.9 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$
	iii	$v = 2\pi R/T = 2 \times 3.14 \times 1.8 \times 10^{10} / 1.8 \times 10^6$ (giving $v = 6.3$ or 6.4×10^4) $\Delta\lambda = (v/c)\lambda = (6.3/3) \times 10^{-4} \times 656 = 0.14 \text{ (nm)}$	C1 A1	do not penalise repeated error for R or T ecf for incorrect v, gives $\Delta\lambda = v \times 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$ $\Delta\lambda = 0.28$ for 2R; $\Delta\lambda = 2.9$ for 1 day and $\Delta\lambda = 5.7$ for both incorrect Examiner's Comments Most of the higher performing candidates completed this problem successfully. Two common errors among the remainder were to equate the formula for central force gravitational potential energy (GMm/r) to kinetic energy to find a value for the speed of the stars and to rewrite incorrectly metres in powers of 10 in nanometres.
		Total	7	
40	i	$-mV_g = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$ or $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 + mV_g = 0$	B1	
	i	$V_g = -GM/R = -gR$	B1	
	i	$v = \sqrt{2gR}$	B1	Working must be shown
	ii	$v = \sqrt{2 \times 9.81 \times 6.4 \times 10^6} = 11 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	B1	allow 11(.2) km s ⁻¹
	iii	$\frac{1}{2}mc^2 = \frac{3}{2}kT$ where $m = (M/N_A) = 6.6 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$	B1	ecf (ii); allow $m = 4u$ or $4 \times 1.67 \times 10^{-27}$
	iii	$T = 6.6 \times 10^{-27} \times 121 \times 10^6 / 3 \times 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$	C1	
	iii	$T = 1.9 \times 10^4 \text{ (K)}$	A1	allow 2 or 2.0
	iv	1 random motion and elastic collisions of particles	B1	max 4 out of 5 marking points where answer is a logical progression

5.4 Gravitational Fields

		iv	2 lead to distribution of kinetic energies/velocities among particles	B1 B1	
		iv	3 a very few will have very high velocities at top end of distribution 4 a long way from mean /r.m.s. velocity at 300 K 5 hence some able to escape	B1	
		v	helium nucleus is an α -particle	B1	max 2 out of 3 marking points
		v	so helium is generated by radioactive decay helium is found in (natural gas) deposits underground	B1	
			Total	13	